

Tetraaquabis(tetrazolido- κN^1)-magnesium

Ti-Lou Liu,^a Ji-Hua Deng^b and Shuang-Jiao Sun^{a*}

^aShaoyang Medical College, Shaoyang, Hunan 422000, People's Republic of China, and ^bCollege of Chemistry and Bio-engineering, Yichun University, Yichun, Jiangxi 336000, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: sshj_2008@yahoo.cn


Received 7 July 2010; accepted 12 July 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 173$ K; mean $\sigma(N-C) = 0.002$ Å; R factor = 0.033; wR factor = 0.097; data-to-parameter ratio = 9.2.

In the crystal structure of the title compound, $[Mg(CHN_4)_2(H_2O)_4]$, the Mg^{II} atom is six-coordinated by two N atoms from two tetrazolide anions and four O atoms from four coordinated water molecules in a slightly distorted octahedral geometry. The Mg atom is located on centres of inversion whereas the tetrazolide anion and the water molecules occupy general positions. The crystal packing is stabilized by intermolecular O—H...N hydrogen bonding between the tetrazolide anions and the coordinated water molecules.

Related literature

For metal complexes with tetrazolide anions, see: Zhang *et al.* (2007); He *et al.* (2006).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[Mg(CHN_4)_2(H_2O)_4]$
 $M_r = 234.49$
 Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
 $a = 5.7570$ (19) Å
 $b = 11.638$ (4) Å
 $c = 6.963$ (2) Å
 $\beta = 99.785$ (5)°

$V = 459.7$ (3) Å³
 $Z = 2$
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.21$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 173$ K
 $0.36 \times 0.28 \times 0.22$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
 Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 1998)
 $T_{min} = 0.929$, $T_{max} = 0.955$

1806 measured reflections
 792 independent reflections
 709 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{int} = 0.015$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.097$
 $S = 1.01$
 792 reflections
 86 parameters
 6 restraints

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.35$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.25$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$O2-H2B\cdots N3^i$	0.83 (2)	1.96 (2)	2.7797 (19)	173 (2)
$O1-H1B\cdots N1^{ii}$	0.88 (2)	1.89 (2)	2.755 (2)	169 (2)
$O1-H1A\cdots N4^{iii}$	0.81 (2)	2.15 (2)	2.956 (2)	173 (2)
$O2-H2A\cdots N4^{iv}$	0.83 (2)	2.06 (2)	2.892 (2)	171 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + 2, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x - 1, y, z$; (iii) $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NC2193).

References

- Bruker (1998). SMART, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
 He, X., Lu, C.-Z. & Yuan, D.-Q. (2006). *Inorg. Chem.* **15**, 5760–5766.
 Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
 Zhang, X.-M., Zhao, Y.-F., Zhang, W.-X. & Chen, X.-M. (2007). *Adv. Mater.* **19**, 2843–2846.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, m979 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810027625]

Tetraaquabis(tetrazolido- κN^1)magnesium

T.-L. Liu, J.-H. Deng and S.-J. Sun

Comment

Tetrazolide anions are found in a number of metal complexes as ligands and the crystal structures and properties of several of such metal complexes have been reported in literature (Zhang *et al.*, 2007; He *et al.*, 2006) In the present contribution we report the synthesis and crystal structure of its magnesium(II) complex. In the crystal structure of the title compound the Mg atoms are six-coordinated by two N atoms from two symmetry equivalent tetrazolide anions and four O atoms of two pairs of symmetry equivalent water molecules. The coordination polyhedra around the Mg atoms can be described as slightly distorted tetrahedra (Fig. 1). In the crystal structure the complexes are connected by intermolecular O—H \cdots N hydrogen bonding into a three-dimensional network (Fig. 2 and Tab. 1).

Experimental

A solution of MgCl₂·2H₂O (1 mmol) in water (5 ml) was slowly added to a solution of tetrazole (1 mmol) in water (14 ml) with continuous stirring at room temperature. After 30 minutes, the mixture was sealed in a 25 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel and heated under autogenous pressure at 160 °C for 4 days, then slowly cooled to room temperature. The colorless crystals were collected by filtration, washed with distilled water and dried in air. Yield: 60% (based on Mg).

Refinement

The H atoms of the tetrazole ligands were placed in geometrically idealized positions with C—H distances of 0.95 Å and were refined isotropic using a riding model with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$. The H atoms of the coordinated water molecules were located in the difference Fourier maps and refined isotropic with varying coordinates.

Figures




Fig. 1. The structure of **(I)**, showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-labeling scheme. Symmetry code: $i = x, y, z$.




Fig. 2. Crystal structure of title compound with view along the *a* axis. Hydrogen bonding is shown as dashed lines.

Tetraaquabis(tetrazolido- κN^1)magnesium

Crystal data

[Mg(CHN₄)₂(H₂O)₄]

M_r = 234.49

Monoclinic, *P*2₁/*c*

Hall symbol: -*P* 2ybc

a = 5.7570 (19) Å

b = 11.638 (4) Å

c = 6.963 (2) Å

β = 99.785 (5)°

V = 459.7 (3) Å³

Z = 2

F(000) = 244

D_x = 1.694 Mg m⁻³

Mo *K* α radiation, λ = 0.71073 Å

Cell parameters from 1468 reflections

θ = 3.5–26.9°

μ = 0.21 mm⁻¹

T = 173 K

Block, colorless

0.36 × 0.28 × 0.22 mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube graphite

ϕ and ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 1998)

T_{min} = 0.929, *T_{max}* = 0.955

1806 measured reflections

792 independent reflections

709 reflections with *I* > 2 σ (*I*)

R_{int} = 0.015

θ_{\max} = 25.0°, θ_{\min} = 3.5°

h = -5→6

k = -13→12

l = -7→8

Refinement

Refinement on *F*²

Least-squares matrix: full

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

$$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$$

$$wR(F^2) = 0.097$$

$$S = 1.01$$

792 reflections

86 parameters

6 restraints

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0716P)^2 + 0.1838P]$$

$$\text{where } P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$$

$$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.35 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.25 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Mg1	0.5000	0.5000	0.0000	0.0139 (3)
N1	0.8863 (2)	0.31027 (12)	0.0878 (2)	0.0188 (4)
N2	0.6554 (2)	0.32630 (12)	0.02230 (19)	0.0154 (4)
C1	0.5683 (3)	0.22168 (15)	-0.0099 (2)	0.0184 (4)
H1	0.4070	0.2058	-0.0575	0.022*
N4	0.7331 (2)	0.14132 (12)	0.0322 (2)	0.0199 (4)
O1	0.2683 (2)	0.45460 (11)	0.18011 (19)	0.0187 (3)
O2	0.7258 (2)	0.54196 (11)	0.25064 (18)	0.0196 (4)
N3	0.9328 (3)	0.20068 (12)	0.0938 (2)	0.0200 (4)
H2A	0.729 (4)	0.4945 (18)	0.341 (3)	0.033 (6)*
H1A	0.256 (4)	0.5042 (18)	0.260 (3)	0.037 (7)*
H1B	0.136 (4)	0.417 (2)	0.150 (3)	0.043 (7)*
H2B	0.819 (4)	0.594 (2)	0.293 (4)	0.045 (7)*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Mg1	0.0152 (4)	0.0058 (5)	0.0200 (5)	-0.0001 (3)	0.0007 (3)	-0.0002 (3)
N1	0.0187 (8)	0.0104 (8)	0.0262 (8)	0.0028 (6)	0.0011 (6)	0.0006 (6)
N2	0.0170 (7)	0.0095 (8)	0.0194 (8)	0.0003 (6)	0.0017 (6)	-0.0003 (5)
C1	0.0192 (8)	0.0115 (9)	0.0235 (9)	-0.0005 (7)	0.0006 (7)	-0.0002 (7)
N4	0.0242 (8)	0.0090 (8)	0.0255 (9)	0.0007 (6)	0.0015 (6)	-0.0007 (6)
O1	0.0201 (7)	0.0106 (7)	0.0260 (7)	-0.0027 (5)	0.0053 (5)	-0.0036 (5)

supplementary materials

O2	0.0237 (7)	0.0105 (7)	0.0221 (7)	-0.0051 (5)	-0.0034 (5)	0.0019 (5)
N3	0.0227 (8)	0.0108 (7)	0.0256 (8)	0.0026 (6)	0.0014 (6)	-0.0002 (6)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Mg1—O1 ⁱ	2.0492 (13)	N2—C1	1.321 (2)
Mg1—O1	2.0492 (13)	C1—N4	1.329 (2)
Mg1—O2 ⁱ	2.0499 (13)	C1—H1	0.9500
Mg1—O2	2.0499 (13)	N4—N3	1.347 (2)
Mg1—N2	2.2053 (15)	O1—H1A	0.812 (19)
Mg1—N2 ⁱ	2.2053 (15)	O1—H1B	0.876 (19)
N1—N3	1.302 (2)	O2—H2A	0.83 (2)
N1—N2	1.343 (2)	O2—H2B	0.83 (2)
O1 ⁱ —Mg1—O1	180.00 (7)	N3—N1—N2	109.45 (13)
O1 ⁱ —Mg1—O2 ⁱ	85.69 (6)	C1—N2—N1	104.74 (13)
O1—Mg1—O2 ⁱ	94.31 (6)	C1—N2—Mg1	134.05 (11)
O1 ⁱ —Mg1—O2	94.31 (6)	N1—N2—Mg1	121.16 (10)
O1—Mg1—O2	85.69 (6)	N2—C1—N4	112.04 (15)
O2 ⁱ —Mg1—O2	180.00 (7)	N2—C1—H1	124.0
O1 ⁱ —Mg1—N2	88.91 (5)	N4—C1—H1	124.0
O1—Mg1—N2	91.09 (5)	C1—N4—N3	104.34 (15)
O2 ⁱ —Mg1—N2	91.86 (5)	Mg1—O1—H1A	112.3 (16)
O2—Mg1—N2	88.14 (5)	Mg1—O1—H1B	128.1 (16)
O1 ⁱ —Mg1—N2 ⁱ	91.09 (5)	H1A—O1—H1B	110 (2)
O1—Mg1—N2 ⁱ	88.91 (5)	Mg1—O2—H2A	114.5 (16)
O2 ⁱ —Mg1—N2 ⁱ	88.14 (5)	Mg1—O2—H2B	139.2 (17)
O2—Mg1—N2 ⁱ	91.86 (5)	H2A—O2—H2B	106 (2)
N2—Mg1—N2 ⁱ	180.0	N1—N3—N4	109.42 (14)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, -y+1, -z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O2—H2B \cdots N3 ⁱⁱ	0.83 (2)	1.96 (2)	2.7797 (19)	173 (2)
O1—H1B \cdots N1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.88 (2)	1.89 (2)	2.755 (2)	169 (2)
O1—H1A \cdots N4 ^{iv}	0.81 (2)	2.15 (2)	2.956 (2)	173 (2)
O2—H2A \cdots N4 ^v	0.83 (2)	2.06 (2)	2.892 (2)	171 (2)

Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x+2, y+1/2, -z+1/2$; (iii) $x-1, y, z$; (iv) $-x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2$; (v) $x, -y+1/2, z+1/2$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

